UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/511,790 | 06/17/2005 | Thomas Reid Kelly | D-3173 | 6291 |
| | 7590 08/06/200 BUYAN & MULLIN | EXAMINER | | |
| 4 VENTURE, S | SUITE 300 | CORBIN, ARTHUR L | | |
| IRVINE, CA 92618 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1794 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/06/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/511,790 | KELLY ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | 7 attial E. Goldin | 1734 |
|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>29 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | g date of the final rejection. | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lates Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | | 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two months of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externation Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water MAMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NO | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | · | ducing or simplifying the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al | | timely filed amendment canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ll be entered and an explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attached. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowance because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | |
| | /Arthur L Corbin/ | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art U | Init 1794 |
| | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's remarks focus on two main issues: a batch vs. continuous process and the use of an in-line dynamic mixer. Applicant's remarks regarding a continuous process are more specific than applicant's claims since a "continuous" process is not claimed. Further, the particular type of mixer used is merely an obvious matter of choice and, in the absence of a showing of factual evidence of unexpected results for its use or for the criticality of its use, can be accorded no patentable weight.